PLANNING, ZONING \& DEVELOPMENT COMMISSION VILLAGE OF ORLAND HILLS, ILLINOIS

| IN RE THE MATTER OF: | ) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 9800 W. 167TH STREET | ) |
| ORLAND HILLS, ILLINOIS |  |

REPORT OF PROCEEDINGS had at the hearing of the above-entitled cause before the Planning, Zoning \& Development Commission of the Village of Orland Hills, Illinois, at the Orland Hills Village Hall, 16033 S. 94th Avenue, Orland Hills, Illinois, on the 29th day of April, 2023, at the hour of 7:00 p.m.

## PRESENT:

MS. ELAINE HALLAS, Chairman;
MR. BILL PEREPECHKO, Member;
MR. PATRICK O'CONNOR, Member;
MR. JOSEPH COZZI, Member;
MR. DAVID FIORE, Member;
MR. KEVIN COTTER, Member;
ODELSON, STERK, MURPHEY, FRAZIER \& McGRATH, LTD. BY: MR. CARY HORVATH 3318 W. 95th Street
Evergreen Park, Illinois 60805
Appeared on behalf of the Commission.

## ALSO PRESENT:

MR. BRIAN O'NEILL, Village Administrator;
MS. ANDREA CROWLEY and MS. CAROL RUZICH, Griffin \& Gallagher, Applicant's Attorney;

MR. ANDRE BLAKLEY, Richman Group/TRG Community Development;

MR. THOMAS O'BRIEN, Henry Brothers Construction;
MR. ERIC MARING, Hooker DeJong Architects;
MR. THOMAS COSTELLO, Member of Public.

CHAIR HALLAS: Call the meeting to order at
7:00 p.m.
Do you want to do roll call?
MR. O'NEILL: Chairman Hallas.
CHAIR HALLAS: Here.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Perepechko.
MEMBER PEREPECHKO: Here.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Elliott.
(No response.)
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner O'Connor.
MEMBER O'CONNOR: Here.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Cozzi.
MEMBER COZZI: Here.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Fiore.
MEMBER FIORE: Here.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Cotter.
MEMBER COTTER: Here.
MR. O'NEILL: Village Administer O'Neill is present.

MR. HORVATH: And, for the record, my name is Cary Horvath. I'm representing the Village of Orland Hills Planning, Zoning, and Development

Commission this evening.
MR. O'NEILL: Do we need to go around the room or --

THE REPORTER: No.
MR. O'NEILL: Okay.
THE REPORTER: Just as they come up to speak.

MR. O'NEILL: Okay.
CHAIR HALLAS: Okay. I would like to make a motion to approve -- excuse me. I'm asking for a motion to approve the minutes of September 14th, 2022, Planning, Zoning, Development Commission.

MR. O'NEILL: Is there a motion?
MEMBER COZZI: I motion.
MR. O'NEILL: Motion by Commissioner Cozzi.
Is there a second?
MEMBER O'CONNOR: Second.
MR. O'NEILL: Second by Commissioner O'Connor.

Any discussion for the Board? (No response.)

MR. O'NEILL: Roll call.

Chairman Hallas.
CHAIR HALLAS: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Perepechko.
MEMBER PEREPECHKO: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner O'Connor.
MEMBER O'CONNOR: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Cozzi.
MEMBER COZZI: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Fiore.
MEMBER FIORE: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Cotter.
MEMBER COTTER: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Motion passed.
CHAIR HALLAS: Would you have the honor of reading this one? Thank you.

MR. O'NEILL: Item No. 2: The purpose of this public hearing is to grant a Special Use or a Planned Unit Development, including the variances listed below, in order to allow the development of a 70 -unit senior apartment building at approximately 9800 W. 167th Street, Orland Hills, Illinois.

The property is legally described as

Lots 1, 2 and 3 and outlot B in South Hill Planned Unit Development of part of the southwest quarter of the southeast quarter of Section 21, Township 36 north, Range 12, east of the third principal meridian in Cook County, Illinois.

The following variances have been requested and will be considered at the public hearing:

An increase in allowable height for the building;

An increase in the allowable density to allow the construction of 70 apartment units;

A decrease in the minimum square footage requirements for one-bedroom units;

A decrease in the minimum required parking spaces.

At this point in time I would suggest that the petitioner might want to come up and present his --

MR. HORVATH: May I just ask a question or ask permission of the Chairman to address the Board for just a moment?

CHAIR HALLAS: Absolutely.

MR. HORVATH: Because there are a number of persons in the audience who may be coming forward to present testimony, I would just ask if they identify themselves for the record and if they could spell their last name so the court reporter can get it accurately into the record.

And, then, as witnesses are presented, I don't know if your practice is to swear them in or not, but because they are going to be giving testimony and the court reporter can swear them in, if that is your practice in these types of hearings --

MR. O'NEILL: It has not been our practice but whatever you would advise.

MR. HORVATH: I would advise it in this particular matter.

CHAIR HALLAS: Okay.
MR. O'NEILL: Very good.
MR. HORVATH: Okay.
MR. O'NEILL: So if the Richman Group would like to --

MS. CROWLEY: Andrea Crowley, the Law Firm of Griffin \& Gallagher. Also Carol Ruzich is here as
well. We are here tonight on behalf of the Richman Group, TRG Community Development as well.

Before you tonight we have a request for a Special Use, as Mr. Horvath laid out, for a Planned Unit Development with associated variances. We also petitioned for a subdivision, in this case a consolidation of our existing lots into one parcel, so one tax parcel, and that would include the vacation of a right-of-way that has been dedicated for over a decade but never improved, also site plan approval of the drawings and the structures that you saw in the submittals.

The purpose would be to allow for the construction of a four-story 70 -unit senior center. The property is located at approximately 9800 West 167th Street.

This parcel was previously approved for an 87 -unit senior structure. The last approval ordinance is from 2016. There was also a prior one approving an assisted living senior type center as well. So this is not a new idea to the parcel. We believe it's an improved engineering and better design.

We do have our team here tonight, so I don't want to say too much. I'm a legal lawyer. The guys who actually know what they are talking about are here, so I'm going to turn it over to them, but I want to introduce them first.

On behalf of the Richman Group we have Andre Blakley, Greg Stec, and Keyvon Kyles. We also have our general contractor here, and that's Henry Brothers, and that's Tom O'Brien, Scott Meadows, and Bob Nielsen; our engineer, Kevin Chapin, KPC

Consultants, is here as well; and on behalf of our design team, which is Hooker DeJong, we have Eric Maring and Brian DeJong.

Questions at any time for sure. I mean we are all here. So if you have any questions, we are happy to address them. But I'm going to turn it over to Andre to talk to you about his group and talk to you about this project as well.

MR. HORVATH: Okay. If it's okay, we will swear your witnesses in as they testify.

MS. CROWLEY: Absolutely.
MR. HORVATH: Good evening. Sir, will you
state and spell your last name so the court reporter has it correctly.

MR. BLAKLEY: Andre Blakley, A-n-d-r-e, last name Blakley, B-1-a-k-1-e-y.
(Witness sworn.)
MR. BLAKLEY: I do. I have to hand out materials. Is it okay to approach and hand these out? And it feels thick, but we'll try to go through it quickly. We just wanted to provide you with a little bit of background about our company, what we do, how long we have been doing it, and so forth.

May I? So good evening. I'm Andre Blakley, president of TRG Community Development, a subsidiary of the Richman Group of companies. First, I would like to thank you -- I would like to say thank you to the Village of Orland Hills Village Board members and Village administration for the opportunity to bring forth Orland Hills Senior Housing Development, a proposed 70 -unit development that will be located at 9800 West 167th Street.

We believe this will be an exciting addition to the Village of Orland Hills, and this is
based on preliminary discussions that we've had with local aging services and, in fact, we've even gotten emails from residents -- a couple residents that reside here in Orland Hills asking about the timing of our development, when will units be available, which is exciting but also very encouraging.

One other point that I'd like to emphasize, and we'11 talk about this when we detail the programming and the timeline for this development, but this project will feature a preference for local residents, and I think that's very important. We want to build for the communities that we're in and for the residents that live in that community first. So as a part of this process, and I know the folks at the local aging services were excited to hear this, there will be a first priority preference for residents that live within the limits of the village and live within the Village of Orland Hills. So I wanted to make sure I pointed that out.

Within this presentation, we'11 go over just quick1y the Richman Group's background, my background. I'11 try to keep that to just a couple of
minutes. Please interrupt me at any point if you have questions. And then we have, as it was mentioned, our development team members here, our general contractor, Henry Brothers. He'11 come up and speak for just a moment or two. And then we have a project architect and our civil engineer who will be presenting the site plan and talking through some of the technical aspects that were -- some of which were mentioned in terms of why we are here today.

So just a little bit of background on the Richman Group. We are the seventh largest owner of multi-family rental apartment units in the U.S. We've been developing for over 30 plus years. We started actually investing in these types of developments over 30 years ago and continue to do that, one of the largest investors; but we also develop for our own accord, and we've been doing that for the past 25 years. So, as you can imagine with that length of time or resume, you accumulate a lot of information and, simply put, you learn a lot. And we've learned a lot about how to be experts -- we call ourselves experts -in housing, and we've learned a lot over the last 30
years.
A few factoids about our company. As I mentioned, we are over 30 years old, but we were founded in 1986. We are a privately owned real estate development investment property management and asset management firm. And what that means is, in addition to the investing in housing and real estate development, we have a full service development arm and that development arm is vertically integrated, meaning we have in-house construction, we have in-house architecture, property management, asset management, all of the necessary disciplines that need to be at the table day one when you are doing this type of work.

And, as I mentioned, we have local -- a local contractor that we'11 be working with. So we don't intend to self perform. It's really just for quality control purposes so we can watch the contractor so that our property manager knows what we are programming day one and they are not getting that information at the eleventh hour when it is time to lease up, specifically about our preference that I mentioned. That's a very important detail. So we
bring all of those disciplines to the table day one, and we feel that adds a tremendous value to the work that we do.

We are headquartered in Greenwich, Connecticut. I oversee our office here in Chicago in the Midwest, which is a recent and new addition to the Richman Group, who wanted to expand their presence moreso in the Midwest and some other Southeast areas. So I came on board about four years ago to do that and start developing for the Richman Group.

But, as I mentioned, we have 12
regional offices active in the Northeast, Florida, Midwest, Mid Atlantic, and California markets, also Texas. That's not mentioned here. We are doing a lot of work in Austin, the Austin area right now.

The Richman Asset Management, Inc., provides asset management to nearly 130 public, private, and institutional real estate investment funds, which owns 115,000 residential units serving communities in 49 states as well as D.C., Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and Guam.

The next slide I think really
highlights what we are about and who we are. We build all types of housing. We build high-end signature market rate housing in the Keys to, you know, this type of development which is -- you would say it's less complex than developing in the Keys, but not necessarily, because every deal has its own challenges. There is just a wide gamut of product that we deliver and execute on. I think that's a tremendous value you add because you don't want to be able to look at the housing and say, oh, a market rate developer built that or, oh, an affordable builder built that. It should all look the same; and that's what we really pride ourselves on, is bringing that level of quality to the table.

So a couple other factoids before I move on. We own and manage all of our assets, everything that we develop. So, you know, we don't turnkey or helicopter in and then, as soon as it's done, we are out. We manage everything for the long term. Even our market rate products -- projects, excuse me, we manage those long term as well. There are certain instances where we have institutional
investors, they want to get out in seven, eight years, but those are the only examples where we have extricated ourselves or wound down a partnership on one of these developments. It's very important to us and very important to the owner.

I'11 skip over a couple of pages here. I did talk about our vertical integration. We've raised over 14 billion in equity for investment in over 1,600 apartment projects, and we manage over 18,000 rental units currently across the country.

The next slide is our executive leadership team. First you have Richard Richman, who is the founder and chairman of the company, still active, still participating in design. That seems to be his go-to lately, is making sure that the developments look high quality. So he's very active on a day-to-day basis. Then we have Kristin Miller, who is the president of the Richman Group Development Corporation; David Salzman, who oversees our investment side; and then you have myself.

Just a little bit of background about myself. We do have a central office in downtown

Chicago. We don't really go to offices anymore, that's changed since COVID quite a bit, but we do have a physical office in downtown Chicago. I was the former national director of public housing and real estate development for Gorman \& Company, a relatively large Midwest-based development firm. I've completed over 250 million in real estate development over 23 years of capital market and real estate development experience, and currently we have 180 million in design for projects.

> I just want to emphasize our team members, and we'11 start -- We have some information and some company information for Henry Brothers; but I thought, Tom, if you wouldn't mind just coming up for a bit and sharing --

MR. O'BRIEN: Sure. You can stay there.
I'11 just stand here and get sworn in.
(Witness sworn.)
MR. O'BRIEN: I do.
MR. HORVATH: Could you just state your name, sir.

MR. O'BRIEN: Yeah, Tom O'Brien, O
apostrophe b-r-i-e-n.
Thank you, Andre, for that
introduction, and thank you for making us part of the team. I'11 keep it brief.

Henry Brothers, locally owned and operated, famiy owned and operated company, has been in business well over a hundred years. For those of you that know it, we are obviously teaming up with you to build the expansion project for your recreation center. Really excited about that. Seems to really be taking shape.

Henry Brothers has completed well over 1,500 assignments during its hundred years in business. We work in a variety of sectors: schools, churches, commercial facilities, public municipal facilities like the recreation center, and then, of course, one of our key sectors is housing, more specifically, senior housing.

Not to belabor it too much, but in the last 25 years we've completed 40 housing projects of all types, anything of what we call "a head and a bed in it." So it's student housing, hotels, senior
housing, condos, apartments; and, more specifically, in the last ten years we've completed 17 projects consisting of some 1,200 units with a construction value of $\$ 200 \mathrm{million}$, and currently we have four projects under construction valued at about 60 million.

The type of project that the Richman Group is proposing here is exactly, or very close to, what we build a lot, maximum quality for the dollars available; and we are looking forward to and excited about the potential to work with everybody on the team to make this a reality. Thank you.

MR. BLAKLEY: Thank you, Tom.
And then also joined with us today we have our architect, Hooker DeJong, and our civil engineer Kevin Chapin with KPC Consultants. You'll be hearing from them in just a second when we talk about the site plan and, specifically, the engineering.

Just a few more slides I'd like to get through, and then we'll dive right into the site plan. As I mentioned, on the property management side, we will manage this asset. We manage everything that we build because we provide the
guarantees for it; and so we want to make sure it operates correctly and it's performing, it's doing everything we said it would do when we asked that lender to come to the table. So we manage all of our sites.

We do hire locally in terms of our site staff. Most of our property management staff are located in Tampa, Florida. That's where our property management office is headquartered. So we will have a regional director -- we have a regional director that oversees this area, but we'll hire locally for site staff. There will be on-site property management, an on-site leasing office as well, and that will all be 1oca1.

I touched on this already so I won't belabor this, but, again, the value of our construction admin services, $I$ think it saves us quite a bit in terms of just containing cost, making sure we watch the contractors, change orders, everything that's needed to get a deal completed; and so we provide those services inhouse, and we have those disciplines inhouse. We are a part of the design process. As we are producing
construction documents, we are a part of that input process, as we should be as the owner, but we are involved in every aspect of it.

I do have some of our signature developments. I won't go through them because I know my time is almost up, but I just wanted to include those for you. You can also find those on our website. It really talks about how we view kind of the open spaces, our clubhouse room, which I think there is a great picture of that on one of these slides, our fitness area, how that's typically equipped. This is, and I love to show this photo, and they say a photo is worth -- a picture is worth a thousand words, but that's an example of our clubhouse. We want it to be well lit, bright. We want it to be active for the seniors and the residents that will be living there. So you have some examples of those common area amenities, a coffee bar space, internet cafe and so forth; and we have all of that planned for Orland Hills Senior Development.

There is also a couple of slides that
really demonstrate our approach to just the floor plans
and making sure there is natural light exposure, maximizing views, and really just, bottom line, investing in the quality of life. So please feel free to browse through those again. We have brand new pages for all of our developments set up on our website. It's very helpful. You can click on it and read about the attributes or the programming for a particular development along with looking at some really nice photos and renderings.

So on to the site plan, and before I bring Eric -- invite Eric up and Kevin -- Eric Maring and Kevin Chapin up, I just want to talk a little bit about the programming, common area amenities, some of which I've talked about already, and then our timeline, and then we'll hand it over to Eric and team.

So this is a proposed 70 total units.
We have 56 one-bedroom units, 14 two-bedroom units.
The average unit size is 650 and 875 respectively, and this will be restricted to households 55 and over. The leasing preference, as I mentioned in my intro, will be for local residents first. And then some of our common area amenities include a clubhouse with a reception
concierge area; a fitness center; cardio and aerobics area; internet cafe with a coffee bar; most of our developments feature free WIFI, that's become a standard and expectation, at least in the market; a full business center; leasing and management office; and then a mailroom for collecting packages. That's becoming more and more important with the Amazon deliveries that happen -- seem to happen 24/7.

As far as our timeline, we are hoping to complete the planning and zoning review process in May or June of this year, if possible, and target a closing for October of this year. The estimated construction schedule is approximately 14 to 16 months, which means that we would start lease-up in February or March of 2025, just to give you a sense for when the actual building will be constructed and folks will start moving in.

Unless there are questions, I'm going to hand it over to Eric and team.

MR. MARING: Good evening. I'm Eric Maring with Hooker DeJong Architects and Engineers, last name is M-a-r-i-n-g.

## (Witness sworn.)

MR. MARING: I do. I can give you kind of a high-level overview if you haven't had a chance to review the plans. I did include in this presentation, I don't know if you are familiar with it or not, but the previously approved plan. Again, I don't know -- I think it's been a couple years since that was approved, so just to kind of give you an understanding of kind of how we looked at it.

Originally we did kind of look at trying to mimic the same kind of design and configuration of it. As we kind of got further into it, you know, we were just really fighting with there is some existing wetlands on the site, there is an existing flood plain that kind of makes its way in here, and so we pivoted at one point and tried to say, okay, how can we avoid these areas, obviously they are natural habitats, so we kind of pivoted our design to try to avoid those as much as we could or we are avoiding them.

You can see here, you know, we shifted from the building being here to flipping it to a
building being on the east side there. So our concept is an L-shaped building, entry drive here where the existing curb cut is, you know, parking up front, and then having a lane that snakes around the back to the lower end of the site. We have a -- it's a three-story building from the front and then, as you go back, that site drops off. So we are utilizing that drop-off, and then in the back leg is a four-story building. So, again, trying to use that grade a little bit more than just building up and clear cutting the whole property. We have some kind of common space up front here, as you'11 see. Again, here is that wetland and that flood plain that we are avoiding. We will put a little detention in in the spot where we can fit it as well.

Yeah, again, I think our list of -I'11 show you the elevations too. We are proposing a hundred percent brick on the elevation per the ordinance. So we did kind of start with a couple different tones of brick. I know the condo building next to it kind of has the more traditional red brick, so we are trying to do more of a tan and gray brick
just to differentiate from what's there now.
You can see this is our front elevation
here. So we've got, again, a three-story with pitched roof, entry drop-off canopy, and then also we have a little bit of outdoor space, you know, a trellis element that would be located kind of off our community room to give some outdoor space to the residents.

So we are looking to utilize Type V-B construction, which is combustible construction. I know that varies from the city code now. We wanted to just make sure you guys understood that that's what we are looking at or wanted to have that for discussion tonight or with the city.

Again, our variances, just to go over those, was the density to go up to 70 units. I think 55 is the R-4 density for this site. The parking, there is really no -- we've developed in municipalities that have a separate parking requirement for senior developments. I think this falls under the multi family, which is two and a half spaces, which I think Andre can attest, that it's just -- you are just going to create a sea of parking that's just hardly used. We
are a little bit over figuring one space per unit plus some extra space for management or visitors we feel like is sufficient.

Our building height is going up a little over 40 feet at the front, at the street level, which the ordinance is 35 feet, so that's another one.

Our one-bedroom units are a little bit smaller than the minimum, which is 700 square feet. Again, we are looking at seniors, senior housing here, so we don't feel like the big, you know, larger units are going to be required. This is based on units we used in other past projects that have been successful.

Any questions at this point?
Andre went through some of our amenity spaces that we are looking at. We are looking at two elevators. Since we have a three-story portion and four-story portion, we are looking at two elevators. Again, being a senior unit, it's always good to have one elevator that functions in case one goes down. Due to the funding, we will have to commit to like a LEED or green certification. So we will have an energy efficient building here as well, another highlight.

Is there any questions at this point that I can help or Kevin can help?

MS. CROWLEY: I just want to clarify that while we are asking for some deviations in terms of upgrading the density, it is actually less dense than the 2016 ordinance. It's a technical deviation from your code but less than the 2016 approval, and that includes parking as well. So we are asking for a deviation from the R-4, but there was a PUD approved in '16, and what we are asking for is less than what was approved in 2016. So it's a technical deviation but not more than what's already out there.

MR. MARING: Yeah, that was a four-story building with 87 units and I think around the same ratio parking, around one to one.

MR. O'NEILL: Is there anyone else that would like to present before the Commission?

MR. HORVATH: Is your presentation completed?

MR. BLAKLEY: Yes, sir, and thank you for the time.

CHAIR HALLAS: Thank you.

MR. COSTELLO: My name is Thomas Costello, C-o-s-t-e-1-1-o. (Witness sworn.)

THE WITNESS: Absolutely. Yes.
Hello, everybody. I'm a manager for the LLC that owns the property directly to the east of this property between 165th Street and 167th. We acquired our property late last year and immediately, within a couple of days of acquiring our property, I contacted all the neighbors, including the seller -there is an attorney out of New York that was selling to the group that's here, gave him my name, my phone number, I said we want to be good neighbors, we are going to develop a site plan for our property that's compatible with the surrounding properties. We talked about road alignments, sewer and water alignments, landscaping alignments, that sort of thing. I reached out to Ms. Reagan, who is on the east of us behind American Sales, I reached out to Mr. Petric, who was constructing cell towers to the north, and I reached out to the people who are selling to the folks that are here today and to the Village. Nobody ever called us
back.
So eventually we developed a site plan, we had our engineer create one, and we submitted a petition for approvals like you are doing today back in January. Well, we asked everybody involved what's up with the road alignment. There is a street stub on the 2016 approved plans that you are being asked to vacate that allowed access to our property; and it shows on those plans, which were developed by KPC, the same engineer that's here today, water, sewer, pavement, and sidewalks going up to our property line, which would allow our property to have access to the public streets. Of course, I'm 167th, but there was a turn lane that was developed back in the early 2000s that was paid for as part of the PUD, planned unit development, that includes our property. There is actually special assessment impact fees that we have to pay when our property gets developed and pay for that road and use that road.

Now we are being told, I just learned today, I happened to call the Village and ask what's going on with our petition that was submitted in

January and I said can we get a date for a public hearing before your Commission, and the front staff said, "oh, the hearing is tonight." I said, "oh, my gosh, tonight, we weren't even told about that." Well, it turns out they were talking about this hearing.

There is no public notice sign that's up there. I would have had no idea if I hadn't called. I get the plan about six hours ago, and I see the roadway that's of public record, already recorded down at the recorder's office, there is a right-of-way recorded up to our property line, is -- they are proposing to vacate on these plans, which would leave us landlocked.

We were asking to build three ten-unit buildings on the plans we submitted in January, two of them on the north of Marley Creek -- one is on the north of Marley Creek and two is on the south, but they rely on that roadway. I'm told that, because we are only 200 feet away from the existing turn lane that's there, it is not practical engineering to ask the Cook County Highway Department for another curb cut because of the interference of traffic and people turning and
safety issues and that kind of thing.
We wish our neighbors the best. We want to be good neighbors. We want to see them succeed. They have high quality people here, Henry Brothers and KPC and so forth, but we would just like to see this meeting continued until a site plan can be presented that doesn't leave us landlocked, that presents a method for us to have a road right-of-way access as we do with what's currently recorded.

I would also like to ask a question. They made some comments about their project being market or not market and the funding and all that. What's that all about? Who is paying for the project? It seems like they didn't really enlighten us about that.

MR. O'NEILL: Tom, you and Mark Sterk and Lynn met a couple months ago --

MR. COSTELLO: We met in February, and I actually brought up this road alignment. I didn't realize you already had plans submitted. You didn't say, "hey, Tom, this is what they're doing." That's all we wanted, was to cooperate with the neighbors and
be good neighbors and coordinate a global plan. This plan cuts us out. We don't have access to the road.

MR. O'NEILL: Well, nothing, of course, has been approved either by the Commission or, of course, by the Board.

MR. COSTELLO: That's all we are asking for. We wish your people the best and want you to go forward, but we need to have some sort of way to drive cars on and off the property.

MR. O'NEILL: This is something that, you know, Mark Sterk, and I think when we met Mark basically -- he takes the lead in a lot of this stuff for us. So he left his firm about ten days ago, and Cary has been kind of thrown into the fire here.

MR. COSTELLO: We had some conversations with him, and he said, "well, we really don't want to have any public roads that the city will have to maintain," and I said, "oh, would this be like a driveway going up to the right-of-way that's there," you know, "I said do you want a turn lane for a fire truck to turn around," that kind of thing, and we just got no response from him.

We spent tens of thousands of dollars having engineering work on our pl an, and just six hours ago we found out that the road right-of-way that we thought we were supposed to be using may not be there if you approve everything here. We think that's not equitable and not fair to us.

MR. O'NEILL: I would not disagree with that at all. In light of this, and in light of all the information that has been presented today, it would be my recommendation to the Commission, you know, that there not be a vote taken on this. There is a lot of information that was presented, and I think we need to get some clarification from our legal team about, you know, some of these issues that Mr. Costello has brought up and how the two projects --

MR. COSTELLO: I'm very available. We can meet with the interested parties and have an informal meeting. We have worked actually with Kevin before. He has done work for us in years past. He is familiar with them, he is familiar with us, so we can just sit down and work out something where we have a driveway. That's all we need.

MR. HORVATH: That's perfectly fine. We can sit down and figure something out.

MR. COSTELLO: Thank you.
MR. HORVATH: Is there any other information or testimony to be presented this evening by anybody? (No response.)

MR. HORVATH: Members of the Commission, my suggestion would be that, because of the amount of material presented, along with the comments of Mr. Costello, that rather than move forward with any type of decision making this evening, that we have an opportunity to review the materials presented; if necessary, possibly sit down with the parties that have presented this evening; and then reconvene at a future date for you to go through the findings that are necessary and consider some of the requests that have been presented by the applicant this night -- this evening.

There are four specific variances that they have requested. I will tell the applicant, as far as the reduction -- the increase in the building height, $I$ don't know if the Zoning Board has that
authority to grant --
MS. CROWLEY: Mark had just asked that we include it, and he made the ultimate decision as to what he put on there.

MR. HORVATH: They have some latitude with respect to the -- possibly the parking and the density, but I'm not so sure on construction materials or what you can construct, that that is within the purview of the authority that they have.

MS. CROWLEY: We understand that.
MR. HORVATH: Anything else?
MR. O'NEILL: No.
MR. HORVATH: Members of the Board, do you have any other -- do you have any questions or comments that you would like to enter into the record this evening? You certainly are not prevented from doing that.

CHAIR HALLAS: I don't specifically have any questions. I guess the last comments brought up more questions than I had originally. I want to go back to my notes from 2016 and compare this to what was approved back then.

I would prefer to make a motion to
table this until we can look at the whole thing globally because there is a lot more moving parts than what it seemed originally. That is my motion.

MR. HORVATH: Is there a second to the motion?

MEMBER COZZI: Second.
MR. HORVATH: Can we get a roll call on
that, please.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Hallas.
CHAIR HALLAS: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Perepechko.
MEMBER PEREPECHKO: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner O'Connor.
MEMBER O'CONNOR: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Cozzi.
MEMBER COZZI: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Fiore.
MEMBER FIORE: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Cotter.
MEMBER COTTER: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Motion passes.

MR. HORVATH: Is there any other business to be discussed this evening?
(No response.)

MR. HORVATH: You can make a motion to adjourn, and then I believe what Mr. O'Neill will do is indicate to you when we should have another meeting to further discuss this depending on what the next steps are taken with respect to meeting with either the applicant and/or Mr. Costello to see if we can't get some more additional information to present to you. Is that acceptable?

CHAIR HALLAS: I'11 make a motion to adjourn at 7:42.

MR. O'NEILL: Motioned by Commissioner Hallas. Is there a second?

MR. FIORE: I'11 second.
MR. O'NEILL: Second by Commissioner Fiore.
Roll call.
Commissioner Hallas.
CHAIR HALLAS: Yes.
MR. O'NEILL: Commissioner Perepechko.
MEMBER PEREPECHKO: Yes.
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| elevators [2]-27:16, | extra $\left.{ }^{1]}\right]-27: 2$ | 33:8, 35:10 | 14, 6:22, 7:16 | :10, 17:4, 18:17 |
| 27:17 | extricated [1] - 16:3 | founded [1] - 13: | 28:22, 36:18, 37:11, | 8:18, 18:20, 18:22, |
| eleventh ${ }_{[1]}-13: 20$ |  | founder [1] - 16:13 | 38:12, 38:20 | 19:1, 27: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elliott }[1]-3: 8 \\ & \text { emails }[1]-11: 3 \end{aligned}$ | F | $\begin{array}{r} \text { four }[7]-8: 14,14: 9 \\ 19: 4,25: 8,27: 17, \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { hand }[4]-10: 6,10: 7, \\ & 22: 15,23: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { hundred }[3]-18: 7, \\ 18: 13,25: 18 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { emphasize [2] - 11:8, } \\ & \text { 17:11 } \\ & \text { encouraging [1] - } \end{aligned}$ | facilities $[2]-18: 15$ fact $[1]-11: 2$ factoids $[2]-13: 2$, | 28:13, 35:19 four-story [4]-8:14, 25:8, 27:17, 28:13 | happy ${ }_{[1]}-9: 16$ <br> hardly $[1]-26: 22$ <br> head [1] - 18:21 |  |
| 11:6 | 15:15 | FRAZIER [1] - 2:8 | headquartered [2] - | idea [2]-8:21, 31:7 |
| end [2] - 15:2, 25:5 | fair $[1]-34:$ | free [2]-22:3, 23:3 | 14:4, 20:9 | identify [1] - 7:3 |
| energy [1] - 27:21 | falls [1]-26:19 | front [6] - 25:3, 25:6, | hear ${ }_{[1]}$ - 11:15 | ILLINOIS $[3]-1: 3,1: 7$, |
| engineer [5]-9:10, | familiar [3]-24:5 | $25: 12,26: 2,27: 5,$ | hearing $[7]-1: 9,5: 17$, | 40:1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & 12: 6,19: 15,30: 3 \\ & 30: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34: 19,34: 20 \\ & \text { family }[2]-12: 12, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31: 2 \\ & \text { full }[2]-13: 8,23: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6: 7,19: 16,31: 2, \\ & 31: 3,31: 5 \end{aligned}$ | illinois [5] - 1:12, 1:13, 2:9, 5:21, 6:5 |
| engineering $[4]-8: 22$, | 26:20 | functions [1]-27:19 | hearings [1]-7:11 | imagine [1] - 12:18 |
| 19:17, 31:20, 34:2 | famiy [1] - 18:6 | funding [2]-27:20, | height [3] - 6:8, 27:4, | immediately [1] - 29:8 |
| Engineers [1]-23:21 | $\operatorname{far}_{[2]}-23: 9,35: 20$ | 32:12 | $35: 22$ | impact [1] - 30:17 <br> important [5]-11:11, |
| enlighten [1]-32:14 <br> enter [1] - 36:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { feature }[2]-11: 10, \\ & 23: 3 \end{aligned}$ | funds [1] - 14:19 <br> future [1] - 35:14 | helicopter [1] - 15:18 hello [1]-29:5 | $\begin{gathered} \text { important }[5] \text { - 11:11, } \\ \text { 13:22, 16:4, 16:5, } \end{gathered}$ |
| entitled [3] - 1:10, | February [2] - 23:14, |  | help [2] - 28:2 | 23:7 |
| 39:12, 40:6 | 32:18 | G | helpful [1] - 22:6 | improved [2] - 8:10, |
| entry [2]-25:2, 26:4 | fees [1] - 30:17 <br> feet $[4]-27: 5,27: 6$, | Gallagher [2]-2:16, | Henry $[7]-2: 18,9: 8$, <br> 12:4, 17:13, 18:5 | $\begin{gathered} 8: 22 \\ \mathbf{I N}_{[1]}-1: 5 \end{gathered}$ |
| equipped [1]-21:11 <br> equitable [1]-34:6 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { feet }[4]-27: 5,27: 6, \\ & 27: 8,31: 19 \end{aligned}$ | Gallagher [2]-2:16, 7:22 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 12:4, 17:13, 18:5, } \\ & 18: 12,32: 4 \end{aligned}$ | in-house [2]-13:10 |
| equity ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:8 | few [2]-13:2, 19:18 | gamut [1] - 15:7 | hereby [1] - 40:4 | Inc [1] - 14:16 |
| ERIC ${ }_{[1]}$ - 2:19 | fighting [1] - 24:13 | general [2]-9:8, 12:3 | high [4]-15:2, 16:16, | include [5] - 8:8, 21:6, |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Eric }[7]-9: 12,22: 11, \\ & 22: 15,23: 19,23: 20 \end{aligned}$ | figure ${ }_{[1]}$ - $35: 2$ <br> figuring ${ }_{[1]}-27: 1$ | global [1] - 33:1 <br> globally [1] - 37:3 | $24: 3,32: 4$ <br> high-end [1] - 15 | $\begin{array}{r} \text { 22:22, 24:4, 36:3 } \\ \text { includes [2]-28:8, } \end{array}$ |
| 22:15, 23:19, 23:20 | findings [1] - 35:15 | go-to [1] - 16:15 | h-end [1]-15: | 30:16 |
| 14:18, 17:4, 17:7, | fine ${ }_{[1]}-35: 1$ | Gorman [1] - 17:5 | highlight ${ }_{[1]}$ - $27: 22$ | including [2]-5:18, |
| 17:8 | FIORE [6] - 2:6, 3:15, | gosh [1]-31:4 | highlights [1]-15:1 | 29:10 |
| estimated ${ }_{[1]}-23: 12$ | $5: 10,37: 19,38: 16,$ | grade [1]-25:9 <br> grant $[2]-5: 17,36:$ | Highway ${ }_{[1]}$ - $31: 21$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { increase }[3]-6: 8 \text {, } \\ 6: 10,35: 21 \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { evening }[10]-4: 1, \\ & 9: 22,10: 12,23: 20, \end{aligned}$ | 39:6 <br> Fiore [5] - 3:14, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { grant }[2]-5: 17,36: \\ & \text { gray }[1]-25: 22 \end{aligned}$ | Hill [1] - 6:1 <br> HILLS [2] - 1:3, 1:7 | 6:10, 35:21 <br> indicate [1] - 38:6 |
| $35: 5,35: 11,35: 14,$ | 37:18, 38:17, 39:5 | great ${ }_{[1]}$ - 21:10 | Is [11]-1:11, 1:12 | informal [1] - 34:17 |
| 35:18, 36:16, $38: 2$ | fire [2] - 33:14, 33:20 | green [1]-27:21 | 1:13, 3:22, 5:21, | information [8] - |
| eventually ${ }_{[1]}-30: 2$ | Firm [1] - 7:21 | Greenwich [1] - 14:4 | 10:16, 10:18, 10:22, | 12:19, 13:20, 17:12 |
| Evergreen [1] - 2:9 <br> exactly $[1]$ - 19.7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { firm }[3]-13: 6,17: 6, \\ & 33: 13 \end{aligned}$ | Greg [1] - 9:7 <br> Griffin [2] - 2:16, 7:22 | $\begin{array}{r} 11: 4,11: 18,21: 19 \\ \text { hire }[2]-20: 6,20: 11 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 13,34: 9,34: 12, \\ & 35: 4,38: 10 \end{aligned}$ |
| exactly [1] - 19:7 <br> example [1]-21:14 | First $[1]$ - 16:12 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Griffin }[2]-2: 16,7: 22 \\ & \text { group }[2]-9: 17,29: 12 \end{aligned}$ | hire [2] - 20:6, 20:11 honor ${ }_{[1]}-5: 14$ | inhouse [2] - 20:21 |
| examples [2]-16:2, <br> $21 \cdot 17$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { first }[5]-9: 5,10: 14, \\ & 11: 13,11: 16,22: 21 \end{aligned}$ | Group [9] - 7:19, 8:2, 9:6, 10:14, 12:11 | Hooker [4]-2:19, 9:12, 19:14, 23:21 | input ${ }_{[1]}$ - 21:1 <br> instances [1]-15:22 |
| excited [3]-11:15, | $\mathrm{fit}_{[1]}$ - 25:14 | 4:7, 14:10, 16:18, | hoping [1] - 23:9 | institutional [2] - |
| 18:10, 19:9 | fitness [2]-21:11, | 19:7 | HORVATH ${ }_{[20]}-2: 8$, | 14:18, 15:22 |
| exciting [2]-10:21, | 23:1 | Group's [1] - 11:21 | 3:20, 6:19, 7:1, 7:14, | integrated [1] - 13:9 |
| 11:6 | flipping [1] - 24:22 | Group/TRG [1]-2:17 | 7:18, 9:19, 9:22, | integration [1] - 16:7 |
| excuse [2] - 4:10, | flood [2]-24:15, | Guam [1] - 14:21 | 17:20, 28:18, 35:1, | intend [1]-13:16 |
| 15:21 | 25:13 | guarantees [1] - 20:1 | 35:4, 35:7, 36:5, | interested [1] - 34:17 |
| execute ${ }_{[1]}-15: 8$ | floor [1]-21:22 | guess [1] - 36:19 | $36: 11,36: 13,37: 5$ | interference [1] 31:22 |
| executive ${ }_{[1]}$ - 16:11 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Florida [2] - 14:12, } \\ & \text { 20:8 } \end{aligned}$ | guys [2] - 9:3, 26:11 | 37:8, 38:1, 38:4 <br> Horvath [2] - 3:21, 8:4 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 31:22 } \\ & \text { internet }[2]-21: 18, \end{aligned}$ |


|  | larger [1] - 27:10 | 22:8, 26:8, 26:12 |  | 17:19, 17:20, 17:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| int | largest [2] - 12:11 | 27:9, 27:15, 27:17 | 5:10, 5:1 | 2, 23:20, 24 |
| intro [1] - 22:20 | 12:16 | love [1]-21:12 | 7:7, 37:13, 37:15 | 28:16, 28:1 |
| 1] - 9: | 7:5, 8 | lower [1] - 25: | 37:19, 37:2 | , 29:1, 32:1 |
| [ ${ }^{11}$ - | 3, | - $2: 8$ | :22, 39:2, 39:4 | 2:18, 33:3, 33:6 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { investing [3]-12:14, } \\ & 13: 7,22: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18: 20,19: 2,23: 21, \\ & 29: 8,36: 19 \end{aligned}$ | Lynn [1] - 32:17 | 39:6, 3 | 33:10, 33:15, 34 |
|  |  |  | m | 16, 35:1, 35 |
|  | late [1]-29:8 <br> lately $[1]$ - 16:15 |  | 12:3, 17:12, 35:7 | :4, 35:7, 36:5 |
|  |  |  | Members [1] - 36:13 | 11, 36:12, 36:1 |
| investors [2]-12:16, 16:1 | latitude [1] - 36:5 <br> Law [1]-7.21 | M-a-r-i-n-g [1] - 23:22 mailroom [1]-23:6 | mentioned [9]-12:2, | 5, 37:8, 37:10, |
|  |  |  | 12.8, 13.3, 13:14 | 2, 37:14, 37 |
| invite [1]-22:11 <br> involved [2] - 21:3, | Law [1] - 7:21 <br> lawyer $[1]$ - 9:2 <br> lead [1] - 33:12 <br> leadership [1]-16:12 | mailroom [1]-23:6 <br> maintain [1]-33:18 | 14:1 | 18, 37:20, 37:2 |
|  |  | manage $[7]-15: 16$, | 19:20, 22:20 | , 38:4, 38:14, |
| 30:5 |  |  | 1] | 16, 38:17, 38:2 |
| Islands [1] - 14:21issues [2]-32:1, |  | 19:21, 20:4 | met [3] - 32:17, 32:18, | 39:1, 39:3, 39:5, |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { learn }[1]-12: 20 \\ & \text { learned }[3]-12: 20 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Management }[1] \text { - } \\ & \text { 14:16 } \end{aligned}$ | 33:1 | 39:7, 39 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { issues }[2]-32: 1, \\ & 34: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { learned }[3]-12: 20, \\ 12: 22,30: 20 \end{gathered}$ |  | 32 | MS [8]-2:2, 2:1 |
| Item [1]-5:16 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lease }[2]-13: 21, \\ & 23: 14 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { management }[11]- \\ 13: 5,13: 6,13: 11 \\ 14: 17,19: 21,20: 7 \end{gathered}$ | Mid [1] - 14:13 <br> Midwest [4] - 14:6, <br> 14:8, 14:13, 17:6 | $7: 21,9: 21,28: 3$ $36: 2,36: 10$ |
|  |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} 36: 2,36: 10 \\ \text { multi }[2]-12: 12 \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { leasing [3] - 20:13, } \\ & 22: 20,23: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20: 9,20: 12,23: 5, \\ & 27: 2 \end{aligned}$ | Midwest-based [1] 17:6 | 26:19 |
| January [3]-30:5, |  |  |  | multi-family [ $]$ |
| $\begin{gathered} 31: 1,31: 15 \\ \text { joined }[1]-19: 13 \end{gathered}$ | least [1]-23:4 <br> leave [2] - 31:12, 32:7 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { manager [2] - 13:18, } \\ & 29: 5 \end{aligned}$ | might ${ }_{[1]}-6: 17$ |  |
| joined [1] - 19:13 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { March }[1]-23: 15 \\ \text { Maring }[3]-9: 13, \\ 22: 11,23: 20 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { million }[4]-17: 7, \\ 17: 9,19: 4,19: 5 \end{gathered}$ | cip |
| June | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LEED }_{[1]}-27: 20 \\ & \text { left }_{[1]}-33: 13 \\ & \text { leg }_{[1]}-25: 8 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | 26:17 MURPH |
| K |  | $23: 20,24: 2,28: 13$ | mind $[1]$ - 17:14 minimum [3]-6:12, |  |
| keep [2] - 11:22, 18:4 KEVIN ${ }_{[1]}-2: 7$ | legally ${ }_{[1]}-5: 22$ |  |  |  |
|  | lender [1]-20:4 <br> length $[1]-12: 18$ | Mark [3] - 32:16, 33:11 market [7]-15:3, | 6:14, $27: 8$ minutes [2]-4:11, | NAGLE [1] - 40:4 <br> Nagle [1] - 40:14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kevin } 6]-9: 10, \\ & \text { 19:15, 22:11, 22:12, } \\ & 28: 2,34: 18 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { less }[4]-15: 4,28: 5, \\ & 28: 7,28: 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { market }[7]-15: 3, \\ & 15: 10,15: 20,17: 8 \\ & 23: 4,32: 12 \end{aligned}$ | 12:1 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Nagle }[1]-40: 14 \\ & \text { name }[8]-3: 20,7: 5, \\ & 10: 1,10: 4,17: 21, \\ & 23: 21.29: 1.29: 12 \end{aligned}$ |
| key ${ }_{[1]}$ - 18:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { level }[3]-15: 13,24: 3, \\ & 27: 5 \end{aligned}$ | markets [1]-14:13 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { months [2]-23:13, } \\ & 32: 17 \end{aligned}$ | national [1]-17:4 |
| Keys [2] - 15:3, 15:5 | License [1] - 40:15 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Marley [2]-31:16, } \\ & 31: 17 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |
| kind [16] - 21:8, 24:2, | life ${ }_{[1]}$ - 22:3 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 32: 17 \\ & \text { moreso [1] - 14:8 } \end{aligned}$ | $24: 18$ |
|  | light [3]-22:1, 34:8 | material ${ }_{[1]}-35: 9$ <br> materials [3]-10:7, | most [2] - 20:7, 23:2 |  |
| 24:8, 24:10, 24:11, | limits [1] - 11:17 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { materials [3] - 10:7, } \\ & 35: 12,36: 7 \end{aligned}$ | motion [13]-4:10, | nearly [1] - 14:17 <br> necessarily [1] - 15:6 |
| $24: 12,24: 15,24: 18,$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { line }[3]-22: 2,30: 11, \\ & 31: 11 \end{aligned}$ | MATTER $_{[1]}-1: 5$ <br> matter [2]-7:15, 40:6 | $\begin{aligned} & 4: 15,5: 13,37: 1 \text {, } \\ & 37: 4,37: 6,37: 22, \end{aligned}$ | necessary [3]-13:12, |
| 6, 32:1, 33:14, | list $[1]-25: 16$ <br> Iisted [1] - 5:19 |  |  | 35:13, 35:16 |
| 33:21 |  | MAUREEN ${ }_{[1]}$ - 40:4 | 38:4, 38:12, 39:9 | need [5] - 4:2, 13:12, |
| knows [1] - 13:18 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { lit }{ }_{[1]}-21: 15 \\ & \text { live }[3]-11: 13,11: 16, \\ & 11: 17 \end{aligned}$ | Maureen [1] - 40:14 maximizing ${ }_{[1]}-22: 2$ | motioned [1] - 38:14 | 33:8, 34:12, 34:22 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { KPC }_{[4]}-9: 10,19: 15, \\ & 30: 9,32: 5 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | move [2]-15:16, | needed [1]-20:19 <br> neighbors [6] - 29:10, |
| Kristin [1]-16: |  | McGRATH [1] - $2: 8$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 29:13, 32:2, 32:3, } \\ & 32: 22,33: 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Kyles [1] - 9:7 | living [2] - 8:20, 21:16 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { local }[7]-11: 2,11: 10 \\ & \text { 11:14, 13:14, 13:15, } \\ & 20: 14,22: 21 \end{aligned}$ | mean [1]-9:14 | $\mathbf{M R}[91]-2: 4,2: 5,2: 6$ |  |
| L |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { New }[1]-29: 11 \\ & \text { new }[3]-8: 21,14: 6 \text {, } \end{aligned}$ |
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